Goriune Dudukgian, AK Bar No. 0506051 Nicholas Feronti, AK Bar No. 2106069

NORTHERN JUSTICE PROJECT, LLC

406 G Street, Suite 207

Anchorage, AK 99501

(907) 308-3395 (telephone)

(866) 813-8645 (fax)

Email: gdudukgian@njp-law.com Email: nferonti@njp-law.com

*Saima Akhtar (New York Bar No. 4661237)

NATIONAL CENTER FOR LAW AND ECONOMIC JUSTICE

50 Broadway, Suite 1500

New York, NY 10004

(212) 633-6967 (telephone)

(212) 633-6371 (fax)

Email: akhtar@nclej.org

*Margaret D. Craig (Mass. Bar No. 569130)

*Kelsey Tavares (Mass. Bar No. 705934)

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

33 Arch Street, 26th Floor

Boston, MA 02110-1447

(617) 406-6000 (telephone)

(617) 406-6100 (fax)

Email: maggie.craig@us.dlapiper.com Email: kelsey.tavares@us.dlapiper.com

*Christopher M. Young (Cal. Bar No. 163319)

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

401 B Street, Suite 1700

San Diego, CA 92101-4297

(619) 699-2700 (telephone)

(619) 699-2701 (fax)

Email: christopher.young@dlapiper.com

*Micah A. Chavin (Cal. Bar No. 313634)

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

1415 L Street, Suite 270

Sacramento, CA 95814-3976

(916) 930-3200 (telephone)

(916) 930-3201 (fax)

Email: micah.chavin@us.dlapiper.com

Initial Case Status Report / Case Scheduling & Planning (Revised 5/2/2019)

Della Kamkoff et. al. v. Heidi Hedberg; Case No.: 3:23-cv-00044-SLG

Page 1 of 12

Case 3:23-cv-00044-SLG Document 31 Filed 04/08/24 Page 1 of 12

*Bethany M. Bunge (Tex. Bar No. 24120730)

DLA Piper LLP (US)

845 Texas Avenue, Suite 3800

Houston, Texas 77002

(713) 425-8400 (telephone)

(713) 425-8401 (fax)

Email: bethany.bunge@us.dlapiper.com

*Constance I. Du (Ill. Bar No. 6345766)

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

444 W Lake Street, Suite 900 Chicago, IL 60606-0010

(312) 368-8921 (telephone)

(312) 530-7321 (fax)

Email: constance.du@us.dlapiper.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

Della Kamkoff, John Andrew, Kayla Birch, Rose Carney, Tereresa Ferguson, Zoya Jenkins, Troy Fender, Rhonda Conover, Autumn Ellanna, and Nataliia Moroz, on behalf of themselves, and all those similarly situated.

Plaintiffs,

v.

Heidi Hedberg, in her official capacity as Commissioner of the Alaska Department of Health,

Defendant.

Case No.: 3:23-cv-00044-SLG

SCHEDULING AND PLANNING CONFERENCE REPORT

Initial Case Status Report / Case Scheduling & Planning (Revised 5/2/2019) Della Kamkoff et. al. v. Heidi Hedberg; Case No.: 3:23-cv-00044-SLG Page 2 of 12

^{*}appearing pro hac vice

I. Meeting.

In accordance with Rules 16(a) and 26(f), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and with Local Civil Rules 16.1 and 26.1(b), the parties conferred on March 28, 2024; the following persons participated: Saima Akhtar, Nicholas Feronti, Micah Chavin and Constance Du on behalf of the plaintiffs, as well as Lael Harrison and Justin Nelson on behalf of the defendant. The parties recommend the following:

II. Discovery Plan.

A. Timing, Form and Disclosure Requirements. Please refer to Rule 26(f)(3)(A), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Are there changes that the parties are proposing to that rule for this case under Rule 26(a)?

Yes □ No ☒

B. Initial Disclosures / Preliminary Witness Lists.

- 1. The information required by Rule 26(a)(1), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:
 - (a) \square Has been exchanged by the parties.
 - (b)

 Will be exchanged by the parties on or before: May 1,2024.
- 2. Preliminary witness lists:
 - (a) \square Have been exchanged by the parties.
 - (b) \(\omega \) Will be exchanged by the parties on or before May 22,

2024.

	3.	Disclosure Statement. The disclosure requirements of Rule 7.1,		
		Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:		
		(a) \square Have been complied with.		
		(b) ☐ Compliance will be accomplished on or before <i>[date]</i> .		
		(c) ⊠ Rule 7.1 is not applicable.		
C.	Su	bjects and Timing of Discovery. See Rule 26(f)(3)(B), Federal Rules of		
	Civ	vil Procedure.		
	1.	List the subjects on which discovery may be needed: All of the		
		issues raised in the Complaint and the Answer.		
	2.	Should discovery be conducted in phases or limited to or focused		
		on particular issues? Yes □ No ☒		
	3.	Absent good cause, the proposed date for completion of all		
		discovery should be no later than twelve months from the date of		
		this report.		
	4.	Final Discovery Witness List. A final discovery witness list		
		disclosing all lay witnesses whom a party may wish to call at trial		
		shall be served and filed on January 15, 2025.		
	5.	Close of Fact Discovery. Fact discovery will be completed on or		
		before March 15, 2025.		

-

¹ Each party shall make a good faith attempt to list only those lay witnesses that the party reasonably believes will testify at trial.

- 6. **Expert Discovery**. See Rule 26(a)(2), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
 - (a) Expert witnesses shall be identified by each party on or before October 1, 2024, and each party may identify responsive supplemental expert witnesses within 14 days thereafter.
 - (b) Expert disclosures (reports) required by Rule 26(a)(2) will be disclosed:
 - (i) By all parties on or before **November 1, 2024**;
 - (ii) Rebuttal reports on or before 30 days from the service of the report being rebutted.
 - (c) Expert witness discovery (include depositions) shall be completed by: March 15, 2025.
- D. **Preserving Discovery and Electronically Stored Information (ESI)**
 - 1. Are there issues about the disclosure, discovery, or preservation of ESI, including the form or format in which it should be produced? See Rule 26(f)(3)(C), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Yes ⊠ No □

The parties will separately file a proposed stipulated order concerning the production of ESI.

Please state how ESI should be produced:

See section II.D.1 above. The parties will separately file a proposed stipulated order concerning the production of ESI.

	3. Are there issues with preserving non-ESI discovery?					
	Y	es □ No ⊠				
	Th	e parties are currently unaware of any issues with				
	preserving non-ESI discovery. To the extent any such discovery					
	exi	ists, defendant shall preserve such discovery.				
Ε.	Claims	s of Privilege or Protection of Attorney Work Product See				
	Rule 20	6(f)(3)(D), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.				
	1. 🗆	There is no indication that this will be an issue.				
	2. 🗆	The parties have entered into a confidentiality agreement.				
	3. 🛛	The parties will file their proposed confidentiality agreement				
		on or before: April 19, 2024.				
F.	Limita	tions on Discovery. See Rule 26(f)(3)(E), Federal Rules of				
	Civil P	rocedure.				
	1. 🛮	The limitations contained in Rules 26(b), 30, and 33, Federal				
		Rules of Civil Procedure, and in Local Civil Rules 30.1 and				
		36.1, will apply except as indicated below.				
	2. 🗆	The maximum number of depositions by each party will not				
		exceed [number].				
		(a) Depositions will not exceed [number] hours as to any				
		deponent.				

		(b) 🗆	Depositions will not exceed [number] hours as to
			non-party deponents.
		(c) 🗆	Depositions will not exceed [number] hours as to
			party deponents.
	3. 🗆	The ma	ximum number of interrogatories posed by each party
		will not	exceed [number].
	4. 🗆	The ma	ximum number of requests for admissions posed by
		each pa	rty will not exceed [number].
	5. □	Other li	mitations: [insert other limitations].
G.	Supplei	mentatio	on of Disclosures and Discovery Responses. Please refer to
G.			on of Disclosures and Discovery Responses. Please refer to ad (e)(2), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Do the parties
G.	Rule 26	(e)(1) an	· · · · · ·
G.	Rule 26 request	(e)(1) ar	ad (e)(2), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Do the parties
G.	Rule 26 request	(e)(1) are that the nentation	ad (e)(2), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Do the parties Court enter an order that is different from these rules (e.g.
	Rule 26 request supplem	(e)(1) are that the nentation No ⊠	ad (e)(2), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Do the parties Court enter an order that is different from these rules (e.g.
	Rule 26 request supplem Yes rial Mot	(e)(1) are that the nentation No ⊠	ad (e)(2), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Do the parties Court enter an order that is different from these rules (e.g.
Pret	Rule 26 request supplem Yes rial Mot Are the	(e)(1) are that the nentation No ☒ tions.	ad (e)(2), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Do the parties Court enter an order that is different from these rules (e.g. at 30-day intervals)?

III.

B. Motions must be served and filed within the times specified in applicable rules.

Complete the following only if the parties are proposing deadline(s) that are

different from the applicable rules:

1. Motions to amend pleadings or add parties will be filed not later than

November 15, 2024. Thereafter, a party must seek leave of the Court to

modify this deadline. See Rule 16(b)(3)(A) and (4), Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure.

2. Motions under the discovery rules will be filed not later than **February**

15, 2025.

3. Dispositive motions (including motions for summary judgment) will be

filed not later than June 15, 2025.

4. Motions to exclude expert testimony shall be filed and served not later

than May 15, 2025.

IV. Trial.

A. The case is expected to take **10** days to try.

B. Has a jury trial been demanded? Yes □ No ⊠

C. Is the right to jury trial disputed? Yes \square No \boxtimes

D.	The parties □ do / ☒ do not request the scheduling of a trial date at this time.²				
	1.	If a trial date is requested at this time, the parties' report shall include a			
		minimum of three alternative dates for the start of the trial, at least two of			
		which are 5 to 7 months from the close of all discovery.			
	2.	If a trial date is not established at this time, the court will call upon the			
		parties to certify that the case is ready for trial as provided in Local Civil			
		Rule 40.1(b).			
Oth	er P	Provisions.			
A.	Co	urt Conference. The parties □ do / ☒ do not request a conference with			
	the	court before entry of a scheduling order.			
B.	Co	nsent to Proceed before a Magistrate Judge.			
	The	e parties □ do / ☒ do not consent to trial before a magistrate judge.			
C.	Early Settlement / Alternative Dispute Resolution.				
	1.	Do the parties request immediate assistance by way of a settlement			
		conference or alternative dispute resolution?			

Initial Case Status Report / Case Scheduling & Planning (Revised 5/2/2019) Della Kamkoff et. al. v. Heidi Hedberg; Case No.: 3:23-cv-00044-SLG Page 9 of 12

Yes □ No ☒

V.

² The decision of whether to establish a trial date at this stage of the proceedings rests with the discretion of the assigned judge. Counsel and self-represented parties are advised to contact the assigned judge's Data Quality Analyst (DQA) to determine the judge's practice for establishing a trial date.

		2. Do the parties wish to consider private mediation or a settlement						
		conference with a judicial officer of this court at a later date?						
		Yes ⊠ No □						
	D.	Related Cases. Are the parties aware of any related cases as defined by						
		Local Civil Rule 16.1(e)? Yes □ No ⊠						
VI.	Report Form.							
	A.	Have the parties experienced a problem in using this form?						
		Yes □ No ⊠						
	B.	Are there additional subjects that the parties would propose to add to this form?						
		Yes □ No ⊠						
	DATED this 8 th day of April, 2024 at Anchorage, Alaska.							
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs						
		/s/ Nicholas Feronti Nicholas Feronti, AK Bar No. 2106069 Goriune Dudukgian, AK Bar No. 0506051 NORTHERN JUSTICE PROJECT, LLC 406 G Street, Suite 207 Anchorage, AK 99501 (907) 308-3395 (telephone) (866) 813-8645 (fax) Email: gdudukgian@njp-law.com Email: nferonti@njp-law.com *Saima Akhtar (New York Bar No. 4661237) NATIONAL CENTER FOR LAW AND ECONOMIC JUSTICE 50 Broadway, Suite 1500 New York, NY 10004						

Initial Case Status Report / Case Scheduling & Planning (Revised 5/2/2019) Della Kamkoff et. al. v. Heidi Hedberg; Case No.: 3:23-cv-00044-SLG Page 10 of 12

Case 3:23-cv-00044-SLG Document 31 Filed 04/08/24 Page 10 of 12

(212) 633-6967 (telephone)

(212) 633-6371 (fax) Email:akhtar@nclej.org

*Margaret D. Craig (Mass. Bar No. 569130)

*Kelsey Tavares (Mass. Bar No. 705934)

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

33 Arch Street, 26th Floor

Boston, MA 02110-1447

(617) 406-6000 (telephone)

(617) 406-6100 (fax)

Email:maggie.craig@us.dlapiper.com

Email: kelsey.tavares@us.dlapiper.com

*Christopher M. Young (Cal. Bar No. 163319)

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

401 B Street, Suite 1700

San Diego, CA 92101-4297

(619) 699-2700 (telephone)

(619) 699-2701 (fax)

Email: christopher.young@dlapiper.com

*Micah A. Chavin (Cal. Bar No. 313634)

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

1415 L Street, Suite 270

Sacramento, CA 95814-3976

(916) 930-3200 (telephone)

(916) 930-3201 (fax)

Email: micah.chavin@us.dlapiper.com

*Bethany M. Bunge (Tex. Bar No. 24120730)

DLA Piper LLP (US)

845 Texas Avenue, Suite 3800

Houston, Texas 77002

(713) 425-8400 (telephone)

(713) 425-8401 (fax)

Email: bethany.bunge@us.dlapiper.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

^{*}appearing pro hac vice

Certificate of Service

I certify that on April 8, 2024, the forgoing Scheduling and Planning Conference Report was served on the parties via the CMECF electronic filing system:
/s/ Nicholas Feronti Nicholas Feronti, Northern Justice Project, LLC